Release the 2026 Funding Applications

Regional Solicitation, Active Transportation, and Highway Safety Improvement Program

METROPOLITAN COUNCIL

TAC Funding & Programming, February 26, 2026

Public Engagement: Methods & Stats

GovDelivery Email	• 2,826 opens; 665 clicks
Public Comment Web Page	• 294 visits
Regional Solicitation web page	• 495 visits
Engage web page	• 372 views; 236 visits
Facebook	• 4,219 views; 22 engagements

22

Total Comments

160

Budget Surveys

Metropolitan Council

Public Comment Findings: Active Transportation Solicitation

Active Transportation (1)

Complete Streets Criterion

- Comment on complete streets scoring measure and how it applies to entities without roadway authority.
- ***Recommendation to add following language:***
  - Agencies or organizations without roadway ownership or jurisdiction may include the community's local ordinance or policy where the project is located and describe how the project would support advancing complete streets in this community.

Key Destinations Criterion

- Comments on scoring measure, distances for the connection to key destinations criterion in the bicycle categories.
- ***Recommendation to add following language:***
  - Applicants may identify additional destinations up to 1 mile from the project location but will need to demonstrate that these destinations have a continuous bicycle facility connection that provides safe access from the project location. These destinations must be clearly marked outside of the ½ mile buffer.

Active Transportation (2)

Local Match

- Comments were supportive of limiting financial barriers
- ***Recommendation:***
  - No change, maintain no local match
  - Consider year-round maintenance requirements as “soft matches”

Planning Category

- Comments mentioned $200,000 maximum award limited feasibility of regional studies
- ***Recommendation:***
  - Evaluate and reconsider after 2026 cycle.

Other Comments

- **AT Working Group requested technical input on the comment asking to limit the # of applications for individual applicants.**
- Comment on the planning category eligibility to consider allowing corridor planning.
- Comment on year-round maintenance to be shifted from a qualifying criteria to scoring criteria.
- Comment to consider making other project types eligible for funding.

Limit the number of AT applications an agency can submit for the 3 AT application categories?

With no local match required for AT, it may increase the number of applications submitted.

Pros to Limiting Submittals

- Gives smaller cities a better opportunity to be funded.
- A high number of applications may be taxing on volunteer scorers and delay the process.
- Forces agencies to prioritize and limit their submittals similar to many USDOT discretionary grant opportunities.
- May help with the geographic balance of the funds across the region.
- There is likely another AT solicitation in 2027, so a near-term second opportunity.

Cons to Limiting Submittals

- May not be enough applications to fund $50M for AT (or may require funding lower scoring projects at the bottom of the ranked list).
- Agencies may want to submit more applications this cycle since they are not sure how their projects will compete with the new structure/measures.
- Some larger agencies may represent large geographic areas or large populations so they may have many bike/ped needs across a wide geographic area.

In order to limit the number of applications, a motion would need to be made such as:
Institute a new rule for the AT applications limiting an agency in submitting X applications for each AT application category.

Public Comment Findings: Regional Solicitation

TDM & Shared Mobility

Carshare Eligibility/ Max Award

- Multiple comments requested:
  - higher maximum awards in TDM
  - higher TDM investments
- ***Response:***
  - TDM category to support TDM programs
  - Commit to revisiting maximum awards for competitive TDM category

Shared Mobility/ Unique Projects

- 4 comments requested some combination of shared mobility category or bringing back Unique Projects category
- ***Response:***
  - Commit to evaluating structure and scoring before 2028 Regional Solicitation to determine better fit for carshare and bikeshare type projects

Community Considerations

Score Weighting

- Support for staying at current measures weighting
- Support for reducing measures weighting
- Request for evaluation of measures upon completion of project selection

Communities

- Support for focus of measures on communities that live, work, play near and adjacent to projects
- One comment requested definition of community that would include commuters and freight passing through

Response:

- Keep at current weighting (15% in safety; 20% for all other categories)
- Maintain current definition of communities
- Commit to review and evaluation of all measures, including Community Considerations, after 2026 Solicitation is complete

Data Considerations

Crash Data

- Request to use 2021-2025 crash data instead of the 2020-2024 crash data shown in the draft applications
- ***Response:***
  - Will make this change to all applications that reference a 5-year crash dataset

Data & Maps

- Multiple comments about data availability/visibility (safety, reliability/ excessive delay, etc.)
- ***Response:***
  - Council staff are working on a data viewer to accompany applications that will consolidate data layers needed to respond

Project Forms

- Comment to simplify project information form
- ***Response:***
  - The changes proposed during the process are not feasible to implement for 2026. Will revisit for 2028.

Other Topics

Program Years

- Comment to have earlier year funds available for transit projects
- ***Response:***
  - There are not currently any early federal funds available. TAB could consider setting aside 2030/2031 funding this cycle for the 2028 cycle.

Bridge Funding Target

- Comment to continue sub-target for Bridges (was previously $10M)
- ***Response:***
  - Dedicated federal MPO bridge funds were discontinued 15 years ago. Evaluate the new Regional Solicitation structure and results after the 2026 cycle to see if a sub-target is warranted.

HSIP

- Comments supporting HSIP Rumble Strip Pilot and recommending other minor edits
- ***Response:***
  - A full evaluation of the pilot program and the larger application will take place following the 2026 cycle.

Recommended Motion

Recommend that the Technical Advisory Committee recommend approval of the release of the 2026 Regional Solicitation, Active Transportation Solicitation, and Highway Safety Improvement (HSIP) Solicitations, including the following changes resulting from the public comment period.

- Change the crash years used in the Regional Solicitation safety applications and HSIP applications from 2020-2024 to 2021-2025.
- Change Active Transportation's Complete Streets scoring criterion to add "Agencies or organizations without roadway ownership or jurisdiction may include the community's local ordinance or policy where the project is located and describe how the project would support advancing complete streets in this community."
- Change the Access to Key Destinations scoring criterion in Active Transportation's Local Bicycle Facilities application and Regional Solicitation's Regional Bicycle Facilities application to add the following language: "Applicants may identify additional destinations up to 1 mile from the project location but will need to demonstrate that these destinations have a continuous bicycle facility connection that provides safe access from the project location. These destinations must be clearly marked outside of the one-half mile buffer."

Next Steps

RICE PARK
METRO
Gold Line
METRO GOLD LINE
METRO
8163
MetroTransit

Next steps

Next steps:

1. **TAC and TAB recommendations** (with any recommended changes in response to public comments) – March 2026
2. **Met Council approval** (with any recommended changes in response to public comments) – April 2026
3. **Community Considerations trainings –** spring
4. **Fluxx software training –** spring
5. **Call for projects** – late spring
6. **Formation of scoring committees** – May and June (Community Considerations scorers being identified now)
7. **Scoring committees meetings** – Summer/Fall
8. **TAB project selection** – Late 2026/early 2027

Thank You

Steve Peterson, AICP
Senior Manager
Steven.Peterson@metc.state.mn.us

Bethany Brandt-Sargent, AICP, PTP
Regional Solicitation Manager
Bethany.Brandt-Sargent@metc.state.mn.us

METROPOLITAN COUNCIL