

# MUSA Implementation Guidelines

Imagine 2050 Update



Jan 15, 2026

[metro council.org](http://metro council.org)




# MUSA Implementation Guidelines

## Today's Discussion

- Background
- Proposed changes
- What we have heard
- Proposed Motion

# Metropolitan Urban Service Area (MUSA)


## What is the MUSA?



# Metropolitan Urban Service Area (MUSA)

## Application

- Staging identified by local government
- Implementation guidelines for proposed changes to *local* staging and expansions
- Council reviews for system impacts (density/flows), timing, and forecast consistency



# MUSA Implementation Guidelines

## History

- First established in 2004
- Collaboration with Metro Cities
- Last Major updated in 2017 with adoption of *Thrive MSP 2040*
- Minor Update in 2023

## Purpose

- Ensure transparent, clear, and consistent process for evaluating MUSA expansion request.
- Ensure orderly and efficient operation of regional system.

## Major Proposed Updates

- Consolidates many existing polices into a single documents.
- New proposed exclusions from density calculations.
- Use preliminary plats to review multi-phase projects.
- Need to demonstrate need for MUSA expansions.
- Land Use Inefficiency Surcharge.



# Feedback



## Community Development Committee Summary (Nov 11, 2025)

- The CDC asked staff if there were areas that cities have requested be excluded from density calculations which staff is not proposing to exclude.
- The CDC requests clarification on what would happen if a city wanted to expand their MUSA but the Council did not agree that it needed to be expanded.
- The CDC requested that the Environmental Committee be given a chance to provide input on the proposed guidelines, especially the proposed land use inefficiency surcharge. This occurred on January 13.

# Feedback



## Land Use Advisory Committee Summary (Nov 20, 2025)

- Supportive of the proposed changes.
- Asked if there were concerns about subsequent phases of a multi-phase development not developing as initially proposed.
- Noted instances where cities have to step in and maintain privately owned stormwater ponds.
- Asked for clarification on how areas not currently planned for growth would be treated if they become the subject of developer interest.



# Feedback



## Focus Group Discussion Summary (Nov 12 and Dec 19, 2025)

- Participants felt strongly that public versus private ownership of stormwater ponds was not related to their impact on density. (i.e. They are required infrastructure taking up the same acreage of land regardless of ownership).
- Believe that Metropolitan Council should allow density to be averaged within a development. Note that developments often use PUDs to create mixed neighborhoods that do not neatly align with Land Use Map. Feel this policy creates excessive number of comprehensive plan amendments.
- Extensive discussion on how road right of way is treated. Noted that if created as a separate lot, already not included in calculations because not part of development plat.



# Feedback



## Regional Planning Advisory Group Summary (Dec 2, 2025)

- Generally supportive.
- Asked clarifying questions on density calculations, specially relating to roadway right of way and easements.
- Asked clarifying questions as to why the Council does not permit density to be averaged between land uses.

# Next Steps

- January 13<sup>th</sup> Environmental Committee
- Feb 2<sup>nd</sup> Committee Development Committee (tentative)
- Feb 11<sup>th</sup> Metropolitan Council (tentative)
- Continue to respond to questions and feedback.





# Proposed Action

## Motion:

That the Metropolitan Council adopt the 2026 MUSA Implementation Guidelines as shown in Attachment 1, replacing the current Metropolitan Urban Service Area (MUSA) Implementation Guidelines.







## **MacKenzie Young-Walters**

Senior Planner, Local Planning Assistance  
Community Development

[Mackenzie.Young-Walters@metc.state.mn.us](mailto:Mackenzie.Young-Walters@metc.state.mn.us)

(651) 602-1373

